Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s) BEN-CHORIN ET AL.	
	10/017,546		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charlotte M. Baker	2625	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTG	O personnel):		
(1) Charlotte M. Baker.	(3)		
(2) Guy Yonay.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 18 September 2007.	,		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representati	ve]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: claims 47 and 49.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Lind et al. (5,999,153	and Conner et al. (Re 36,654) and Holub et al.	<u>(6,459,425)</u> .
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)⊠	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed prior art refet the claims</u> . <u>Examiner will give further consideration base</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	rences listed above and poss d on filed amendment. Indments which the examiner a copy of the amendments that	ible proposed ame	endments to
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTrequirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ne last Office action has alread R OF ONE MONTH OR THIR ITERVIEW SUMMARY FORM	dy been filed, APF TY DAYS FROM ' 1, WHICHEVER IS	PLICANT IS THIS S LATER, TO
			. *
	•		
		·	
•		-	
	·		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required